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Abstract

Study Design
An in vitro biomechanical comparison of four posterior fixation techniques in the setting of an anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF).

Objective
To compare the initial stability, in terms of range of motion and neutral zone, provided by pedicle screws, facet screws, translaminar facet screws, and H-graft plus interspinous cables in the presence of an anteriorly placed femoral ring allograft.

Summary of Background Data
Pedicular fixation has been used to increase ALIF fusion rates but has also been linked with increased morbidity. Alternative posterior fixation options are available, but comprehensive biomechanical comparisons of these techniques do not exist.

Methods
Twelve cadaveric lumbar motion segments were loaded to 5 Nm in unconstrained flexion-extension, lateral bending, and axial torsion. Specimens were tested intact, after ALIF, and after applying pedicle screws, translaminar screws, facet screws, and H-graft plus cables. The resulting neutral zones and ranges of motion were measured.

Results
The mean (±SEM) range of motion for each construct in flexion-extension was as follows: intact: 6.39° (±0.47°); ALIF alone: 3.31° (±0.22°); (ALIF+) pedicle screws: 0.6° (±0.06°); facet screws: 0.75° (±0.12°); translaminar screws: 0.61° (±0.09°); and H-graft: 1.74° (±0.26°). Pedicle, translaminar facet, and facet screws significantly decreased range of motion and neutral zone compared to ALIF alone in flexion-extension, lateral bending, and axial torsion (all at P < 0.04, except translaminar screws in torsion neutral zone where P = 0.09). H-graft decreasedflexion-extension range of motion and neutral zone only (P < 0.01) and resulted in a significantly greater neutral zone than pedicle and facet screws in torsion and lateral bending neutral zones (P < 0.03).

Conclusions
In the ALIF setting, facet screw and translaminar screw techniques, which may be associated with less morbidity than pedicle screws clinically, provided initial posterior stabilization similar to pedicular fixation in this in vitro study.